

A straightforward local-search optimization algorithm on the symmetric group

Guillermo Morales-Luna
Computer Science, CINVESTAV-IPN
Mexico City
gmorales@cs.cinvestav.mx

April 29, 2009

Abstract

Given a real objective function defined over the symmetric group, a direct local-search algorithm is proposed, and its complexity is estimated. In particular for an n -dimensional unit vector we are interested in the permutation isometry that acts on this vector by mapping it into a cone of a given angle.

1 Introduction

In several areas of Game Theory and Oil Engineering there appears an optimization problem defined over the group of permutations on an index set. The declination curves [1] on wells, for instance, are important in order to develop exploitation strategies, among them Steam Assisted Gravitational Drainage (SAGD) processes [3]. The well known theories developed by Von Neumann on Game Theory pose also optimization problems whose domain is the symmetric group. A rather complete survey of this kind of optimization procedures in Social Sciences is given in [2].

A set of independent measurements of a certain parameter is realized as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and when it is normalized (in the statistical sense: it is translated by its average and elongated by the reciprocal of its variance) an unit vector with zero mean and unit variance is obtained. Then the problem consists in finding a permutation of its entries making a given

angle with the original normalized vector.

Here we sketch a local-search procedure, with cubic complexity with respect to the dimension of the original vector.

2 Uniform distribution

Let $\mathbf{e}_i = (\delta_{ij})_{j=1}^n$ be the i -th vector in the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, where δ_{ij} is *Kronecker's delta*. For any non-zero vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mathbf{e}_j$, its *mean* is $E(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j$, its *variance* is $Var(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - E(\mathbf{x}))^2$ and its *normalization* is

$$\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Var(\mathbf{x})}}(\mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{1}^n) \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{1}^n$ is the vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with constant components 1. Clearly, $E(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}) = 0$, $Var(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}) = 1$ and $\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}$ is an unit vector, with respect to the Euclidean norm. In particular, for the *identity* vector

$$\mathbf{x} = (1, 2, \dots, n) = \sum_{j=1}^n j \mathbf{e}_j. \quad (2)$$

its mean and variance are

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n j = \frac{n+1}{2} \\ Var(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (j - E(\mathbf{x}))^2 = \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{12} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and its normalization is $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \mathbf{e}_j$, where, for each $j \leq n$:

$$z_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{j - E(\mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbf{x})}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{(n-1)n(n+1)}} (2j - (n+1)). \quad (5)$$

Let

$$\mathbf{u} = \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{3}} \mathbf{z} = \sum_{j=1}^n (2j - (n+1)) \mathbf{e}_j. \quad (6)$$

Then

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{3}}. \quad (7)$$

3 Optimization problem

Let S_n be the permutation group of the set of indexes $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$. Then $\text{card } S_n = n!$. A *permutation matrix* $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has the form $P = (\delta_{i\pi(j)})_{i,j \leq n}$ for some permutation $\pi \in S_n$. In this case, let us write $P = P_\pi$. This matrix determines a linear isometry $P_\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$: for each $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $P_\pi(\mathbf{y})$ coincides with $\mathbf{y}$ up to the permutation π , hence $\|P_\pi(\mathbf{y})\|_2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$.

For any non-zero vector $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mathbf{e}_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by Schwartz's inequality, the inner product between the normalized vector and its corresponding image satisfies $|\langle \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}, P_\pi(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}) \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}\|_2 \|P_\pi(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x})\|_2 = 1$. Thus, for all $\pi \in S_n$,

$$-1 \leq \langle \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}, P_\pi(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}) \rangle \leq 1 \quad (8)$$

Let us consider the map

$$\Phi_\mathbf{x} : S_n \rightarrow [-1, 1], \quad \pi \mapsto \langle \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}, P_\pi(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}) \rangle \quad (9)$$

For the *identity* permutation, $\pi_1 = (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n)$ we have $\Phi_\mathbf{x}(\pi_1) = \langle \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x} \rangle = 1$ and for the permutation $\pi_0 \in S_n$ such that $P_{\pi_0}(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}$ we have $\Phi_\mathbf{x}(\pi_0) = -1$.

In particular, if $\mathbf{x}$ is the identity vector, π_0 above is the *reverse* permutation $\pi_{n!} = (n \ n-1 \ \dots \ 1)$, namely $\forall j, (2\pi_{n!}(j) - (n+1)) = -(2j - (n+1))$. Besides, from eq's (5) and (6),

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, P_\pi(\mathbf{z}) \rangle = \frac{3}{(n-1)n(n+1)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n (2j - (n+1)) (2\pi(j) - (n+1)) \\ &= \frac{3}{(n-1)n(n+1)} \langle \mathbf{u}, P_\pi(\mathbf{u}) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let us write $\nu_n = \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{3}$. From eq. (10) it can be checked that the image of Φ is included in the discrete set

$$R_n = \left\{ \frac{k}{\nu_n} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, -\nu_n \leq k \leq \nu_n \right\} \subset [-1, 1] \quad (11)$$

Namely, $\Phi(S_n) \subseteq R_n$, although the inclusion may be proper, for some values of n . For instance, by eq. (10), $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{k}{\nu_n} \in \Phi(S_n) \iff \\ & \exists \pi \in S_n : k = \sum_{j=1}^n (2j - (n+1)) (2\pi(j) - (n+1)) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Whenever n is an even integer, each summand $(2j - (n+1)) (2\pi(j) - (n+1))$ is odd (as the product of two odd integers), thus their addition will be even. Hence, for k odd, we have $\frac{k}{\nu_n} \notin \Phi(S_n)$.

Besides, we can see that the image $\Phi(S_n)$ is symmetric with respect to 0, namely, $\forall \pi \in S_n$

$$\begin{aligned} & k = \sum_{j=1}^n (2j - (n+1)) (2\pi(j) - (n+1)) \implies \\ & -k = \sum_{j=1}^n (2j - (n+1)) (2\pi_{n!} \circ \pi(j) - (n+1)). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Let us consider the following

Problem 3.1 *Given a non-zero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in [-1, 1]$ find*

$$\Pi(\mathbf{x}, r) = \text{Arg Min}_{\pi \in S_n} |r - \langle \mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}, P_\pi(\mathbf{z}_\mathbf{x}) \rangle|.$$

From remark (13), it is enough to assume $r \in [0, 1]$ when $\mathbf{x}$ is the identity vector.

Problem 3.1 can be solved by a direct *local-search* algorithm. Let us consider the elements of S_n as

nodes and let us consider edges of two kinds:

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi, \rho) \in E_T &\iff \exists i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \rho = (i, j)\pi \\ (\pi, \rho) \in E_C &\iff \exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \rho = (i, i')\pi \\ &\quad \text{with } i' = i + 1 \text{ if } i < n \text{ \& } n' = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{S}_{nT} = (S_n, E_T)$ and $\mathbf{S}_{nC} = (S_n, E_C)$. The graph $\mathbf{S}_{nT}$ contains as edges all pairs of permutations that differ by an arbitrary transposition, and the graph $\mathbf{S}_{nC}$ contains as edges all pairs of permutations that differ by a transposition of two consecutive indexes. $\mathbf{S}_{nT}$ is a regular graph of $n!$ nodes of degree $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$. If we consider any edge as an edge of unit length, then the diameter of $\mathbf{S}_{nT}$ is $d_{nT} = n-1 = O(n)$. Instead, $\mathbf{S}_{nC}$ is a regular graph of $n!$ nodes of degree n and its diameter is

$$d_{nC} = \frac{1}{4}(n - (n \bmod 2))(n + (n \bmod 2)) = O(n^2).$$

4 Solution procedure

Let $F : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a positive-real valued map defined over the symmetric group. Let $\mathbf{S}_n = (S_n, E)$ be any of $\mathbf{S}_{nT}$ or $\mathbf{S}_{nC}$. A local-search algorithm to minimize F is sketched at table 1

In order to solve problem 3.1, for any given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $r \in [0, 1]$, the objective map $F_{\mathbf{x}, r} : \pi \mapsto |r - \Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(\pi)|$ is considered, where $\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}$ is given by eq. (9) which in turn involves the normalization $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{x}}$ of vector $\mathbf{x}$, given by eq. (5) for the identity vector.

If $\mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{S}_{nT}$, then the graph is regular of degree $n(n-1)/2$ and diameter $n-1$. Thus step 2.(b) at pseudocode in table 1 entails $n(n-1)/2$ evaluations of the objective map, and whole cycle 2. is repeated at most $n-1$ times. Thus, in this case the worst case entails $n(n-1)^2/2$ evaluations of the objective map.

Instead, if $\mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{S}_{nC}$, then the graph is regular of degree n and diameter d_{nC} . Thus step 2.(b) at pseudocode in table 1 entails n evaluations of the objective map, and whole cycle 2. is repeated at most d_{nC} times. Thus, in this case the worst case entails nd_{nC} evaluations of the objective map. Since

$$\frac{n(n-1)^2/2}{n(n - (n \bmod 2))(n + (n \bmod 2))/4} = 2 \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n - (n \bmod 2))(n + (n \bmod 2))} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 2,$$

Input. The dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the objective map $F : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$.

Output. The permutation $\pi \in S_n$ such that $\pi = \text{Arg Min}_{\rho \in S_n} F(\rho)$.

1. Initialize $\pi_c := \pi_1$ (the identity permutation); $v_c := F(\pi_c)$;
2. repeat
 - (a) $\pi_d := \pi_c$; $v_d := v_c$;
 - (b) let π_c be the E -neighbor of π_d minimizing the objective map F in the E -neighborhood of π_d ;
 - (c) $v_c := F(\pi_c)$;
 - (d) if $v_c \geq v_d$ then $\pi_c := \pi_d$;
 until $\pi_c == \pi_d$;
3. output $\pi := \pi_c$.

Table 1: Local-search algorithm on the symmetric group.

we see that using $\mathbf{S}_{nT}$ is twice as expensive than using $\mathbf{S}_{nC}$, and this last entails $O(n^3)$ evaluations of the objective map.

References

- [1] Kewen Li and Roland N. Horne. A decline curve analysis model based on fluid flow mechanisms. SPE 83470, 2003.
- [2] Andrea De Martino and Matteo Marsili. Statistical mechanics of socio-economic systems with heterogeneous agents. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 39(1-2):R465-R540, 2006.
- [3] Nestor V. Queipo, Javier V. Goicochea, and Salvador Pintos. Surrogate modeling-based optimization of SAGD processes. *Journal of petroleum science & engineering*, 35(1-2):83-93, 2002.